



PTO/SB/17 (02-07)

Approved for use through 02/28/2007. OMB 0651-0032

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no person are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

Effective on 12/08/2004. Fees pursuant to the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2005 (H.R. 4818). FEE TRANSMITTAL For FY 2007		Complete if Known	
		Application Number	10/759,078-Conf. #7172
		Filing Date	January 20, 2004
		First Named Inventor	Mark E. Tuttle
		Examiner Name	V. Siek
<input type="checkbox"/> Applicant claims small entity status. See 37 CFR 1.27	Art Unit	2825	
TOTAL AMOUNT OF PAYMENT	(\$) 1,715.00	Attorney Docket No.	M4065.0515/P515-A

METHOD OF PAYMENT (check all that apply)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Check	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Credit Card
<input type="checkbox"/> Money Order	<input type="checkbox"/> None
<input type="checkbox"/> Other (please identify): _____	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Deposit Account	Deposit Account Number: <u>04-1073</u> Deposit Account Name: <u>Dickstein Shapiro LLP</u>
For the above-identified deposit account, the Director is hereby authorized to: (check all that apply)	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Charge fee(s) indicated below	<input type="checkbox"/> Charge fee(s) indicated below, except for the filing fee
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Charge any additional fee(s) or underpayments of fee(s) under 37 CFR 1.16 and 1.17	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Credit any overpayments

FEE CALCULATION							
1. BASIC FILING, SEARCH, AND EXAMINATION FEES							
	FILING FEES		SEARCH FEES		EXAMINATION FEES		
		Small Entity		Small Entity		Small Entity	
Application Type	Fee (\$)	Fee (\$)	Fee (\$)	Fee (\$)	Fee (\$)	Fee (\$)	Fees Paid (\$)
Utility	300	150	500	250	200	100	
Design	200	100	100	50	130	65	
Plant	200	100	300	150	160	80	
Reissue	300	150	500	250	600	300	
Provisional	200	100	0	0	0	0	
2. EXCESS CLAIM FEES							
						Small Entity	
						Fee (\$)	Fee (\$)
Each claim over 20 (including Reissues)						50	25
Each independent claim over 3 (including Reissues)						200	100
Multiple dependent claims						360	180
Total Claims		Extra Claims	Fee (\$)	Fee Paid (\$)	Multiple Dependent Claims		
- 20 =		x	=		Fee (\$)	Fee Paid (\$)	
HP = highest number of total claims paid for, if greater than 20.							
Indep. Claims		Extra Claims	Fee (\$)	Fee Paid (\$)			
- 3 =		x	=				
HP = highest number of independent claims paid for, if greater than 3.							
3. APPLICATION SIZE FEE							
If the specification and drawings exceed 100 sheets of paper (excluding electronically filed sequence or computer listings under 37 CFR 1.52(e)), the application size fee due is \$250 (\$125 for small entity) for each additional 50 sheets or fraction thereof. See 35 U.S.C. 41(a)(1)(G) and 37 CFR 1.16(s).							
Total Sheets	Extra Sheets	Number of each additional 50 or fraction thereof		Fee (\$)	Fee Paid (\$)		
- 100 =	/50	(round up to a whole number) x		=			
4. OTHER FEE(S)							
						Fees Paid (\$)	
Non-English Specification, \$130 fee (no small entity discount)							
Other (e.g., late filing surcharge): 1501 Utility issue fee						1,400.00	
1504 Publication fee for early, voluntary, or normal ...						300.00	
8001 Printed copy of patent w/o color						15.00	

SUBMITTED BY			
Signature		Registration No. (Attorney/Agent)	28,371
Name (Print/Type)	Thomas J. D'Amico	Telephone	(202) 420-2232
		Date	May 1, 2007



Docket No.: M4065.0515/P515-A
(PATENT)

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of:
Mark E. Tuttle

Group Art Unit: 3729

Application No.: 10/759,078

Examiner: Anthony D. Tugbang

Filed: January 20, 2004

Allowed: February 2, 2007

For: A METHOD OF FORMING A MAGNETIC
TUNNEL JUNCTION (AS AMENDED)

**COMMENTS ON EXAMINER'S
STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE**

Commissioner of Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

Applicant agrees in part with the Examiner's statement of reasons for allowance in that the prior art of record fails to disclose, teach or suggest the claimed invention. However, Applicant notes that the Examiner's statement fails to address all limitations of all of the allowed claims, including those in the dependent claims, each of which defines a unique combination of features not shown or suggested by the prior art, providing additional reasons for allowance of each claim.

Application No.: 10/759,078

Docket No.: M4065.0515/P515-A

Furthermore, Applicant respectfully submits that the scope of the claimed invention should not be limited by the Examiner's statement, but rather the scope of the claimed invention should be based on the actual language of the allowed claims.

Dated: May 1, 2007

Respectfully submitted,

By 

Thomas J. D'Amico

Registration No. 28,371

DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO LLP

1825 Eye Street, NW

Washington, DC 20006

(202) 420-2232

Attorneys for Applicants